

# Engineering



Texas A&M Engineering Experiment Station

## Engineering Approaches for Agricultural Genomics

Aniruddha Datta, email: <u>datta@ece.tamu.edu</u> Website: <u>https://engineering.tamu.edu/electrical/profiles/adatta.html</u> Center for Bioinformatics and Genomic Systems Engineering (CBGSE) Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Challenges in Agriculture

• Plants: adaptation to stress for survival

• Abiotic Stresses: Extreme temperature, drought, soil toxicity, lodging.

• Biotic Stresses: Viruses, bacteria, insects, weeds, animals.



#### Gene Regulatory Networks

- Defense response against stress: extensive biochemical pathways
- Originates at the genomic level but manifests as observable phenotypic traits.
- Examples: closing of stomata under drought, reduced transpiration rates under saline stress.
- Biochemical interactions: model using Gene Regulatory Networks (GRNs).





#### Gene Regulatory Networks

- GRNs also underlie other biological processes, e.g. grain protein content, flowering.
- Intricate networks of transcription factors, proteins, and target genes.
- Identifying key **trait controlling regulators** in GRNs: breed plants with desirable traits.
- Examples: drought resistant cotton, lysine (Protein) rich rice, lodging resistant sorghum.



Trait-Regulator Identification Methods

 Most Bioinformatics approaches use only data: e.g. differential gene expression (DE) analysis

• Univariate approaches, assigning cause-effect (e.g. drought resistance) relationships to most significant gene (cause).



Trait-Regulator Identification Methods

- Modeling GRNs using Probabilistic Graphical Models (PGMs): can capture multivariate interactions
- Can capture prior knowledge (pathways)
- Also can be learned from data
- PGMs: a good modeling paradigm for GRNs



#### PGMs

- PGMs consist of two major components :
  - 1. The network structure (network topology): connectivity
  - 2. The network parameters (stochastic component): quantify interactions
- Network structure from pathway info: lacking in agricultural context
- Can also be learned from data
- Network parameters: estimated using data



Example 1: Parameter Estimation in BN (WRKY Transcription Factor)

- Objective: Estimate the network parameters of the WRKY transcription factor (TF) network (GRN) involved in drought resistance.
- Prior knowledge:
  - 1. The WRKY TF network is available from pathway literature.
  - 2. The network structure is a DAG.
- Method:
  - 1. Use Bayesian approach to estimate parameters in BN.
  - 2. Discretize microarray data into binary with states 0 (gene inhibition) and 1 (gene activation).





Example 1: Parameter Estimation in BN: The WRKY TF Network (DAG)





#### Inference for regulator identification

- Network inference in PGM: aids study of information propagation
- Allows identification and comparison of modulators
- Modeling a GRN as a PGM: identify potential trait regulators





Inference in Bayesian Networks

- Compute Probability (Reporter node in desirable state given status of upstream node)
- Find upstream node that maximizes this conditional probability
- Perform wet lab experiments for validation (or use literature)





#### Reference for Bayesian Modeling of Drought Resistance

Lahiri, A., Venkatasubramani, P. & Datta, A., "Bayesian modeling of plant drought resistance pathway," BMC Plant Biol 19, 96 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-019-1684-3





Example 2: Lodging Resistance Network Learning with BN

- Objective: Learn the network responsible for conferring lodging resistance in crops e.g. Sorghum
- Pathway info not available
- Design: Learn Bayesian Network (a causal network) from gene expression dataset under lodging stress
- No ground truth to compare against





- Use Gaussian Graphical Models (GGM)
- Learn circadian network using GGM on Sorghum data.
- Circadian gene network evolutionarily conserved among different crops
- Well characterized Arabidopsis circadian network provides basis for benchmark comparison





15

- We used GGM to create differential graphical networks: day vs night (10AM-4PM vs 10PM-4AM) and morning vs evening (4-10 AM vs 4-10 PM)
- Many inter -pathway relationships uncovered using GGM inference.
- Interaction between CCA1 and THIC genes:
  - 1. CCA1 is key gene involved in circadian pathway while THIC is a key gene involved in thiamine (vitamin B1) biosynthesis pathway.
  - 2. In plants, thiamin plays a role in resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses.
  - 3. The causal nature of the interaction is verified experimentally.





- Relationship between auxin biosynthesis pathway and circadian pathway:
  - 1. Shown in the network as indirect relationship between circadian gene REVEILLE1 and auxin biosynthesis gene YUC8.
  - 2. Auxins are thought to play an important role in root organization.
  - 3. REVEILLE1 has been shown to directly positively regulate YUC8 gene.
  - 4. Although the network did not predict a direct relationship, it still uncovered a potential link between the two pathways.
- The causal interactions were verified from literature survey of Arabidopsis circadian genes.
- Similar approach to be used for learning interactions between different pathways contributing to lodging resistance by creating differential GGM using gene expression for contrasting genotypes.





#### Conclusion

- Network modeling can capture the multivariate stochastic interaction taking place in GRNs in plants.
- Incorporates biological prior info when available
- Learning network from data: potential to discover new causal biological relationships.
- Find trait regulators in GRNs: help breed crops with desirable traits





- Aditya Lahiri
- Rajan Kapoor
- TEES
- TAMU X-Grant Program
- All collaborators on 2018 CRISPR Gene Editing X-Grant at TAMU (M. Thomson, L. Shan, J. Mullet, B.-J. Yoon, Y. Shan, X. Qian, J. Pellois, K. Rathore, C. Johnson, M. Molina, M. Molina, P. He, J. Awika, S. Okumoto and E. Septiningsih)
- Anastasia Muliana
- Bill Rooney
- Scott Finlayson



